

29.08.2022

16

Ct. No. 29

**KAUSHIK
REJECTED**

C.R.M.(A) 4104 of 2022

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Amta** Police Station Case No. **95** of **2021** dated **12.04.2021** under Sections 395/412 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

And

In Re : Sk. Jaismuddin

..... petitioner

Mr. Angshuman Chakraborty

....for the petitioner

Mr. Madhusudan Sur

Mr. Dipankar Pramanick

....for the State

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that, four other co-accuseds were enlarged on bail by the Jurisdictional Court. The police filed charge-sheet and, therefore, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that, the petitioner appeared in the Close Circuit Television (CCTV) footage of the incident. The petitioner was identified as one of the persons participating in the dacoity, by the victims from the photographs of the petitioner collected from the CCTV footage.

In view of the incriminating materials in the case diary as against the petitioner, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In such circumstances, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected and the application being CRM (A) 4104 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)