

116 27.06.2022.
ab
Ct. 40

C.P.A.N. 1994 of 1999

Himadri Sekhar Ray
Vs.
Rakesh Kr. Batsa
In
WPA 9311 of 1999
Himadri Sekhar Ray
Vs.
The State

No one appears on behalf of the petitioner on repeated calls.

No accommodation is sought for.

Accordingly, the contempt application is dismissed for default.

There shall be no order as to costs.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Sugato Majumdar, J.)