

13.04.2022
SL No. 375
Court No. 24
(P.M)

**In The High Court At Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

WPA 19683 of 2021

**Swadhin Kumar Das
Vs
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Tamal Taru Panda
... for the petitioner

Mr. Tapas Ballav Mandal
... for the State

Affidavit-of-service filed in Court today is
taken on record.

The petitioner was an Assistant Teacher and
retired from service on 31.01.2008. The first Pension
Payment Order was issued on 04.02.2008 and the
arrear pension was disbursed on 06.04.2008. Under
the ROPA Rules, 2009 there was revision of the
pension and gratuity amount payable to the
petitioner. The revised pension payment order was
issued on 19.04.2012 and the arrear revised pension
was disbursed on 15.06.2012 in terms of ROPA
2009. The petitioner claims interest on delayed
payment of revised arrear pension.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties
and considered the orders passed by this court in
similar facts.

It is settled law that the right of a retired employee to get his retiral dues as and when the same becomes due and payable. If payment of the retiral dues is delayed the retired employee is surely entitled to get some interest for such delayed payment.

In the present case, it was the bounden duty of the State to disburse the pension and revised pension amount on the due date. If it has failed to do so and has released such amount after unexplained delay, it is obliged to pay interest to the retired employee.

In view of the aforesaid, I direct the concerned Treasury Officer to pay interest to the writ petitioner at the rate of 5% per annum on the revised arrear pension calculated on and from the due date till the date of actual payment, provided that the delay caused was not attributable to the petitioner.

Such payment is to be made within eight weeks from the date of communication of the certified copy of this order to the concerned authorities.

The concerned respondent authority is directed to take appropriate steps in accordance with law against the erring officer(s) for whose fault there has been delay in releasing the retirement benefit to the petitioner.

Since no affidavit in opposition has been invited, the allegations contained in the writ petition are deemed not to be admitted.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

There will be no order as to costs.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order be supplied to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)