

C.R.M. (A) 3998 of 2022

23.08.2022
Sl.11
Court No.29
(AD)
(Rejected)

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Raninagar** Police Station Case No.**409 of 2020** dated **17/10/2020** under Sections **447/427/307/34** of the Indian Penal Code and Sections **3/4** of the Explosive Substances Act, arising out of G.R. Case No.3203/2020.

And

In the matter of: **Masadul Sk @ Mesadul Sekh @ Mesadul Sk.**
....petitioner.

Mr. Tapodip Gupta ...for the petitioner.

Ms. Faria Hossain
Ms. Baisali Basu
...for the State.

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State submits that socket bombs were seized. She refers to the statement recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of eye-witnesses who implicates the petitioner, amongst others.

There are materials in the case diary implicating the petitioner. Statements recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure implicate the petitioner in the incident of hurling socket bombs.

In such circumstances, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

C.R.M. (A) 3998 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)

