

S/L. 49.
August 22, 2022.
MNS.

WPA No. 18468 of 2022

Himanshu Mondal
Vs.
State of West Bengal and others

Mr. Dilip Kumar Samanta,
Mr. Biswapriya Samanta

... for the petitioner.

Affidavit-of-service filed in Court today be
kept on record.

Despite service, none appears on behalf of
the respondents.

The innocuous prayer made by the
petitioner is that the representation given by the
petitioner, annexed at page – 23 of the writ
petitioner, be directed to be considered by the
respondent no. 2, that is, the Regional Transport
Authority, Purba Bardhaman.

Accordingly, WPA No. 18468 of 2022 is
disposed of by directing the respondent no. 2, the
Regional Transport Authority, Purba Bardhaman,
to consider and decide on the representation
dated August 26, 2019 given by the petitioner
(Annexure P/2 at page 23 of the writ petition) as
expeditiously as possible, upon giving an

opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and all interested parties in accordance with law, preferably within eight weeks from the date of communication of this order to the respondent no.2.

The petitioner shall communicate this order to the respondent no.2, along with a covering letter and server copy, for the respondent no.2 to act upon the same.

There will be no order as to costs.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order, if applied for, be made available to the parties upon compliance with the requisite formalities.

(Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)