

16.12.2022

Item No.36
Ct.No.34
dc.

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL REVISIONAL JURISDICTION**

**C.R.R. 2369 of 2021
with
CRAN 1 of 2021**

**Sukanta Ghosh
versus
Chitrani Ghosh alias Bose**

Mr. Arindam Dey,
Mr. Amartya Das,
Mr. Pritam Chakraborty ... For the Opposite Party.

Re: CRAN 1 of 2021
(an application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act)

Learned advocate who was earlier representing the petitioner submits that he has instruction not to represent the petitioner further in connection with this revisional application.

Learned advocate for the opposite party is present.

The petitioner prays for condonation of delay of 361 days in preferring this revisional application.

The reasons so assigned in the application for condonation of delay are found to be just and sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. The application being CRAN 1 of 2021 is, thus, allowed.

Re: CRR 2369 of 2021

The learned appellate court after considering the materials so surfaced before the learned trial court was of the opinion that the maintenance amount of Rs.7000/- per month which was awarded by the learned Magistrate to the

wife is not commensurate with the requirements and status of the parties and as such, enhanced the maintenance to a sum of Rs.12000/- per month.

Having considered the reasons so assigned, I am of the opinion that no interference is called for in this revisional application.

Accordingly, the revisional application being CRR 2369 of 2021 is dismissed.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)