

12.08.2022

30

Ct. No. 29

**KAUSHIK
REJECTED**

C.R.M.(A) 3887 of 2022

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Durgachak** Police Station Case No. **52** of **2022** dated **18.05.2022** under Sections 420/409/120B of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Prajapati Maity

..... petitioner

Mr. Debabrata Acharya

Mr. Shital Samanta

Mr. Souvik Sarkar

....for the petitioner

Ms. Faria Hossain

Mr. Anand Keshari

....for the State

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner was falsely implicated.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that, the petitioner worked as a gold appraiser. Same gold ornaments were placed for the purpose of obtaining loan on different accounts. The amount being disbursed were distributed amongst the accused including the petitioner.

There are materials in the case diary implicating the petitioner. Apparently, the petitioner appraised the gold ornaments for the purpose of obtaining loan. Apparently, the same gold ornaments were pledged for different loans. Therefore, complicity of the petitioner requires interrogation.

In such circumstances, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected and the application being CRM (A) 3887 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)