

12.08.2022

28

Ct. No. 29

**KAUSHIK
REJECTED**

C.R.M.(A) 3885 of 2022

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Purulia Town** Police Station Case No. **114** of **2022** dated **05.07.2022** under Sections 420/406/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Kalyani Paramanik

..... petitioner

Mr. Kaustav Bagchi

Mr. Debayan Ghosh

Ms. Priti Kar

....for the petitioner

Mr. Joydeep Roy

Ms. Sujata Das

....for the State

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner was falsely implicated. The petitioner did not receive any notice issued under Section 41A of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that, the petitioner is a facilitator in a racket which extracts money from the public on the promise of government job.

There are materials in the case diary implicating the petitioner. Apparently, a racket was discovered by the police which extracts money from the public on the promise of providing government jobs. The First Information Report states

that the principal accused issued a cheque, which was subsequently dishonoured.

In view of the involvement of the petitioner in the incident and the materials in the case diary, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected and the application being CRM (A) 3885 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)