

17.08.2022
Serial no. 05
[Dd]
(Anticipatory bail)
(**Rejected**)

CRM (A) 3869 of 2022

In re : An Application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Rajarhat** Police Station Case No. **208** of **2022** dated **11.06.2022** under Sections **498A/313/325/506/34** of the Indian Penal Code.

-And-

In the matter of : **Debasish Ghosh**

... ..**Petitioner**

Mr. Kallol Kr. Basu,
Md. Jannat Ul Firdous,
Ms. Tithi Majumder, Advocates
... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Avishek Sinha, Advocate
... ..For the State

Mr. Rana Mukherjee,
Mr. Sujan Chatterjee, Advocates
.. ...For the de facto complainant

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated. The petitioner is ready and willing to pay the maintenance to the daughter. The petitioner was discharged in the 2016 police case.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the materials in the case diary including the statement of the child of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

The de facto complainant is represented.

The child in her statement recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code squarely implicates the petitioner.

Petitioner is the husband of the victim.

Considering the materials in the case diary and the statement of the child of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

CRM (A) 3869 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)