

31.03.2022
Serial no.35
CHC
Ct. No. 29
Rejected

CRM 7633 of 2021

In re: An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 22.11.2021 in connection with Behala P.S. Case No. 257/2021 dated 13.09.2021 under Section 498A/406/326/354/506/509/120B of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 3/ 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 and added section 384 of the I.P.C.

-And-

In the matter of : **Sri Sachin Akhria & anr.**

... ...Petitioners

Mr. Milon Mukherjee, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Debasis Roy, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Sandip Chakraborty, Advocate
Mr. T. Das, Advocate

... ... For the Petitioners

Mr. Sudipto Moitra, Sr. Advocate
Mr. J. N. Chatterjee, Advocate
Mr. Aniket Mitra, Advocate
Mr. Swarvanu Saha, Advocate

... ... For the de facto complainant

Mr. Shiladitya Banerjee, Advocate

.... ... For the State

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate for the petitioners submits that petitioners were falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that petitioners complied with the notice under Section 41A of the Cr.P.C. and that the First Information Report was lodged one and half years subsequent her leaving the matrimonial home.

De facto complainant is represented.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that the allegations against the petitioners are not omnibus in nature. There are serious allegations against both the petitioners.

Considering the statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. and considering the gravity of the offence and involvement of the petitioners therein, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

CRM 7633 of 2021 is rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)