

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL REVISIONAL JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

The Hon'ble **JUSTICE BIBEK CHAUDHURI**

CRR 2846 of 2022

Rabiul Mondal @ Bappa & Anr.

-Vs-

The State of West Bengal

For the petitioner: Mr. Angshuman Chakraborty, Adv.,
 Mr. Shashanka Shekhar Saha, Adv.

For the State:- Mr. Sudipta Nandy, Adv.,
 Mr. Anand Keshri, Adv.

Heard on: 6th September, 2022.

Judgment on: 6th September, 2022.

BIBEK CHAUDHURI, J. : –

1. The petitioner is the accused in N-147 of 2021 arising out of Matia Police Station Case No. 439 of 2021 dated 28.10.2021 under Section 21(C) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act 1985 presently pending before the Learned Additional Sessions Judge, 6th Court, Barasat.
2. The petitioner has filed the instant revision praying for expeditious disposal of the NDPS case instituted against him.
3. On perusal of the application under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C and considering the prayer made by the petitioner, this Court is of the view

that the revisional application can be disposed of here and now in presence of the learned Advocate for the state.

4. Mr. Sudipta Nandy, learned P.P-in-Charge is requested to represent the State of West Bengal in the instant case. Copy of the revisional application is served upon the learned P.P-in-Charge.

5. The legal Remembrancer, Government of West Bengal is requested to regularize the appointment of Mr. Sudipta Nandy.

6. It is submitted by the learned Advocate for the petitioner that the petitioner was arrested in connection with the aforementioned case on 28.10.2021 and since then he is in custody. After completion of investigation chargesheet was submitted on 31.10.2021 with a proposal of 8 witnesses all of whom are police personnel. Thereafter supplementary chargesheet was submitted on 19.04.2022 adding 1 more witness. Thereafter on 04.06.2022 charge was framed against the petitioner under Section 21 (c) of the NDPS Act and date was fixed for evidence on 22.09.2022.

7. Under such circumstances, petitioner has prayed for expeditious disposal of the case.

8. Having heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner it is found that charge has been framed on 04.06.2022 and fixed 22.09.2022 for evidence. Therefore question of any direction for expeditious disposal does not arise. However, the learned Court below is directed to conclude evidence of witnesses as early as possible.

9. The instant criminal revision is dismissed with the above direction.

10. The learned Advocate for the petitioner is at liberty to communicate this order to the trial court and the trial court is directed to act upon the server copy of the order.

(Bibek Chaudhuri, J.)