

05.08.2022
Serial no. 19
[Dd]
(Anticipatory bail)
(**Rejected**)

CRM (A) 3755 of 2022

In re : An Application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Amdanga** Police Station Case No. **303** of **2022** dated **21st June, 2022** under Sections **498(A)/307** with added Sections **302/304(B)** of the Indian Penal Code corresponding to G.R. No. **1566 of 2022**

-And-

In the matter of : **Atar Ali & Ors.**

... ..Petitioners

Ms. Rupsa Sreemani, Advocate
... .. For the Petitioners

Mr. Saswata Gopal Mukherji, Id. PP
Mr. Partha Pratim Das,
Mrs. Manasi Roy, Advocates
... ...For the State

Petitioners pray for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the incident arose after 2 years of marriage.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner nos. 2 and 3 are married sisters who were married 14 years back. He submits that such petitioners are not involved.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the statement of the neighbour recorded under Section 161 of the Criminal Procedure Code as also the dying declaration of the victim.

Considering the statement of the neighbour recorded under Section 161 of the Criminal Procedure Code and the dying declaration of the victim, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is **rejected**.

CRM (A) 3755 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)