

02.08.2022.
24.
Ct.No.28
as
(Rejected)

C.R.M. (DB) 2563 of 2022

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Dholahat P.S. Case No.217 of 2013 dated 15.06.2013 under Sections 363/365/370/34 of the Indian Penal Code and charge sheet submitted under Sections 363/365/370 of the Indian Penal Code.

In the matter of : Tairul Baidya @ Taharal Baidya @
Taharul Baidya.

... Petitioner.

Mr. Gouranga Kr. Das.

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Ranabir Ray Chowdhury,
Mr. Sandip Chakraborty.

...for the State.

Heard the learned Advocates appearing for the parties.

Petitioner submits he was on bail. He failed to appear on two dates and warrant of arrest was issued. Subsequently, he has been re-arrested. He is in custody for about 30 days.

Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail. He submits petitioner had trafficked the victim lady for sexual exploitation. Date has been fixed for recording evidence.

We have considered the materials on record. Petitioner was on bail. He failed to appear before the trial court and warrant of arrest came to be issued. Presently, petitioner is in custody and date has been fixed to examine the victim lady.

In view of the delicate stage at which the proceeding is poised, we are of the opinion it may not be prudent to release the petitioner on bail lest it may dilate the trial and lead to adverse impact on the victim lady who is about to be examined.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay,J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)