

03.08.2022

Sl.6
Court No.29
(AD)
(Rejected)

C.R.M. (A) 3664 of 2022

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Chandannagar** Police Station Case No. **154 of 2022** dated **04/07/2022** under Sections **420/406** of the Indian Penal Code, being G.R. No.1060/2022.

And

In the matter of: **Sanchaita Ghosh @ Sanchita Ghosh & Anr.**
....petitioners.

Mr. Sudip Ghosh Chowdhury

...for the petitioners.

Md. Anwar Hossain

Mr. A.E. Molla

...for the State.

Petitioners pray for anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners could pay the amount involved within six weeks. The petitioners contacted the de facto complainant and the de facto complainant did not respond to the petitioners on the payment.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the materials in the case diary. He submits that the police personnel contacted the de facto complainant whereupon it was stated that the petitioners did not contact the de facto complainant.

There are serious allegations as against the petitioners. There are materials in the case diary implicating the petitioners.

The materials in the case diary suggest a need for custodial interrogation of the petitioners.

In such circumstances, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the

petitioners is rejected.

C.R.M. (A) 3664 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)