

01.08.2022
Serial no. 32
[Dd]
(Anticipatory bail)
(**Rejected**)

CRM (A) 3655 of 2022

In re : An Application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Harishchandrapur** Police Station Case No. **679** of **2021** dated **12.09.2021** under Sections **342/302/34** of the Indian Penal Code.

-And-

In the matter of : **Najmul Hoque @ Md Kalu**
... ..**Petitioner**

Mr. Amitabha Karmakar,
Mr. Arup Kr. Bhowmick, Advocate
... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Ranabir Roy Chowdhury,
Mr. Sandip Chakraborty, Advocates
... ...For the State

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that a thief was assaulted by a mob. The petitioner was falsely implicated.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the statement of eye-witnesses recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code. He submits that proclamation of arrest of warrant was issued against the petitioner.

Death of a person is involved.

The statement recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure of the eye-witnesses implicates the petitioner.

There is a pendency of warrant of arrest against the petitioner.

In such circumstances, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.
CRM (A) 3655 of 2022 is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)