

105 26.07.2022.
ab
Ct. 40

C.P.A.N. 1750 of 2000
Nirmal Kumar Mridha Vs. Surajit Roy
In
WPA 11774 of 2000
Nirmal Kumar Mridha
Vs.
The State

No one appears on behalf of the petitioner on repeated calls.

No accommodation is sought for.

Accordingly, the contempt application is dismissed for default.

There shall be no order as to costs.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Sugato Majumdar, J.)