

15 22.12.
AG 2022
M
/
RKB
Ct
07

C.O. 2210 of 2022
With
CAN 1 of 2022
CAN 2 of 2022

Sudipta Chowdhury & Ors
Vs
Pradip Chowdhury & Ors

Mr. Parikshit Goswami,
Mr. Mritunjoy Goswami,

... For the petitioners.

Mr. Debasish Kundu,
Mr. Souma Subhra Roy, ... for the opposite parties.

According to learned advocate for the petitioners,
Title Suit No. 72 of 2020 pending before the learned
Civil Judge (Junior Division), Bidhannagar has already
been dismissed for default, which the learned advocate
representing the petitioner was not posted with such
fact, receiving sufficient instructions for the purpose
from the client.

It is thus submitted by the learned advocate for
the petitioners that the instant revisional application
has become infructuous.

Mr. Debasish Kundu, learned advocate appearing
for the opposite parties submits that for the
suppression of some material facts, the revisional
application should be disposed of saddling some costs.

Having considered the submission of both the

sides, the revisional application stands dismissed as infructuous.

The interim order granted earlier in this case stands vacated.

The applications being CAN 1 of 2022 and CAN 2 of 2022 are accordingly disposed of.

(Subhasis Dasgupta, J)