

04.04.2022
Court No.13
Item No.2 to 16
pk

WPA 15090 of 2021
Sri Pratyus Mishra @ Pratyush Mishra
Vs.
Union of India and Ors.
With
WPA 15091 of 2021
With
WPA 17536 of 2021
With
WPA 17537 of 2021
With
WPA 17538 of 2021
With
WPA 17539 of 2021
With
WPA 17541 of 2021
With
WPA 17543 of 2021
With
WPA 17544 of 2021
With
WPA 19860 of 2021
With
WPA 19863 of 2021
With
WPA 1190 of 2022
With
WPA 1191 of 2022
With
WPA 1192 of 2022
With
WPA 2449 of 2022

Mr. Achyut Basu
Ms. Punam Basu
Ms. Sonam Basu
Ms. Madhuri Das

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Dipankar Dandapati
Mr. S. N. Dutta,
Mr. Souma Subhra Ray

... for the respondent nos. 1 and 2

Mr. Debashis Saha

... For the S.B.I.

These matters are taken up for hearing together as I am informed that the facts and the parties are the same.

The writ petition has been affirmed by Dr. Asok Kumar Sen on 13th September, 2021 claiming to be an agent of the writ petitioner.

It is submitted that the petitioner is studying in Bangalore and cannot come to Calcutta. It is surprising that the signature of the writ petitioner could not have been notarized in Bangalore itself. The notary affirmation in Calcutta by a person located at Kolkata is ridiculous.

In those circumstances, writ petition shall stand dismissed with liberty to file afresh on the selfsame cause of action.

(Rajasekhar Mantha, J.)