

14.03.2022

Serial no.34

Dd

CRM 7184 of 2021

In re : An Application for **Bail** under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Faridpur** Police Station Case No. **87** of **2020** dated **09.11.2020** under Sections **302** of the Indian Penal Code arising out of G.R.Case No. **1601** of **2020**.

-And-

**In the matter of : Arup Kumar Show @ Arup Show @
Arup Soe @ Arup Shaw**

... ..Petitioner

Mr. Shamik Chatterjee,
Mr. Tapadip Gupta,
Mr. Aditya Bikram Mahata, Advocates
... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Sudip Ghosh,
Mr. Bitasok Banerjee, Advocates
... ..For the State

Report submitted in Court be taken on record.

Petitioner renews the prayer for bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that now the trial Court framed charges and fixed dates for recording evidence of the prosecution. He submits that another co-accused was enlarged on bail by this Hon'ble Court.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that the trial Court fixed three consecutive dates of recording of evidence of the prosecution. He submits that the petitioner cannot be said to be in the same footing as that of his mother who was granted bail by the co-ordinate Bench.

Considering the fact that the trial is in progress and considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein and considering the fact that there is hardly any material change in circumstances subsequent to the earlier order of rejection, in favour of the petitioner, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

However, we request the learned trial Court to dispose of the trial as expeditiously as possible without affording any unnecessary adjournments to any of the parties.

Prayer for bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

CRM 7184 of 2021 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)