

28.07.2022

38

Ct. No. 29

**KAUSHIK
REJECTED**

C.R.M.(A) 3567 of 2022

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Narendrapur** Police Station Case No. **473** of **2022** dated **13.05.2022** under Sections 420/406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

And

In Re : Uma Gupta & Anr.

..... petitioners

Mr. Arunava Ganguly

....for the petitioners

Mr. Angshuman Chakraborty

....for the de-facto complainant

Mr. Saibal Bapuli

Mr. Arani Bhattacharya

....for the State

Petitioners pray for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that, the petitioners were falsely implicated.

State and the de-facto complainant are represented.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that, the petitioner took 25 lakhs from the de-facto complainant and made over rolled gold claiming it to be gold. The petitioner, thereafter, issued three cheques to the de-facto complainant, which were subsequently dishonoured on presentation.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners therein, case for custodial interrogation of the petitioners is made out.

In such circumstances, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected and the application being CRM (A) 3567 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)