

76
ab
Ct. 40

13.07.2022.

C.P.A.N. 1906 of 1998
Dilip Kr. Metya
Vs.
Arun Bal
In
WPA 9210 of 1998
Dilip Kumar Metya
Vs.
The State

No one appears on behalf of the petitioner on repeated calls.

No accommodation is sought for.

Accordingly, the contempt application is dismissed for default.

There shall be no order as to costs.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Sugato Majumdar, J.)