

26.07.2022

12

Ct. No. 29

**KAUSHIK
REJECTED**

C.R.M.(A) 3512 of 2022

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Pingla** Police Station Case No. **335** of **2021** dated **06.12.2021** under Sections 420/406/467/468/471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

And

In Re : Purnendu Khara

..... petitioner

Mr. Amit Ranjan Pati

....for the petitioner

Mr. S. S. Imam

Mr. S. Kundu

....for the State

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner was falsely implicated.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the materials in the case diary.

Complicity of the petitioner in the incident cannot be overlooked. The need for custodial interrogation of the petitioner is apparent from the materials in the case diary and the nature of injury.

In such circumstances, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected and the application being CRM (A) 3512 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)