

22.04. 2022
item No.40
n.b.
ct. no. 34

CRR 1997 of 2019

Sonali Biswas(Ballav)
Vs.
Nripendra Nath Biswas

Mrs. Karabi Roy
.....for the Petitioner
Mr. Santanu Talukdar
.....for the Opposite Party.

The petitioner is aggrieved by the quantum of maintenance, which was reduced by the Learned Sessions Court in Criminal Motion No.5 of 2019.

Mrs. Roy, learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the two daughters are presently studying at Class VIII and class X.

Having regard to the expenses involved at this stage for education, learned advocate prays for enhancement of the said amount. On assessment of the price index, I am of the opinion that there is force in the submission advanced by the learned advocate for the petitioner.

On a query from this Court, learned advocate appearing for the opposite party submits that the petitioner presently is earning around Rs.37,000/- per month.

Having regard to the overall expenditure involved, I am of the opinion that no interference is made in respect of the order dated 24.4.2019 so far as the wife is concerned. However, the

amount of maintenance awarded to the daughters is enhanced to Rs.5,000/-each per month aggregating to sum of (Rs.10,000/- + Rs.4,000/-) 14,000/- per month to be paid in the mode and manner as directed by the Learned Magistrate.

With the aforesaid observations, CRR 1997 of 2019 is partly allowed.

All pending connected applications, if any, are consequently disposed of.

Interim order, if any, is hereby vacated.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)