

22.07.2022
Serial no. 37
[Dd]
(Anticipatory bail)
(**Rejected**)

CRM (A) 3495 of 2022

In re : An Application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Polba** Police Station Case No. **14** of **2022** dated **20.01.2022** under Sections **392/397** of the Indian Penal Code adding section **109** of the Indian Penal Code dated 13.04.2022 (G.R. Case No. **162/2022**)

-And-

In the matter of : **Subhankar Kumar**

... ..**Petitioner**

Mr. Prasenjit Debnath,
Ms. Punam Basu, Advocates
... .. For the Petitioner

Ms. Sreyashee Biswas, Advocate
... ..For the State

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the police filed charge sheet and, therefore, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required. Other co-accused was enlarged on bail by the jurisdictional Court.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that the petitioner is involved in the dacoity. He refers to the materials in the case diary implicating the petitioner.

Considering the fact that there are materials in the case diary implicating the petitioner in the incident of dacoity, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

CRM (A) 3495 of 2022 is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)