

26 17.2.2022
Sc

W.P.A. 16794 OF 2021

(Via Video Conference)

State Bank of India
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Pijush Kanti Ray
Mr. Sourajit Mukherjee.

.... For the Petitioner

Ms. Sipra Mazumdar

.... For the Respondents/
State

Affidavit-of-service, filed in Court, be kept on the record.

The petitioner is aggrieved that the Superintendent of Police, Barasat, North 24-Parganas has not assisted in delivering physical possession of the secured asset in compliance with the order of the District Magistrate, North 24-Parganas, under Section 14 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002.

In that view of the matter, this Court directs the Officer-in-Charge, Haroa Police Station to communicate to the petitioner within a period of seven days the cost required for carrying out the order of the District Magistrate and for deployment of police force in that regard.

Upon deposit of costs, within ten days thereafter, the possession of the secured asset shall be handed over

to the writ petitioner/State Bank of India by the Officer-in-Charge, Haroa Police Station.

With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

There will be no order as to costs.

Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be furnished on usual undertaking.

(Rajasekhar Mantha, J.)