

36
09.09.2022
ssi

Ct 39

WPA 15694 of 2022

Prosenjit Senapati
-vs-
Union of India & others.

Mr. Mukteswar Maity
Mr. Partha Sarathi Hazra
Ms. Manika Sarkar
....for the petitioner
Mr. Puspendu Chakraborty
...for the IOCL
Mr. Sankar Halder
...for the respondent no.10
Mr. Biswabrata Basu Mallick
Mr. Sanjib Das
...for the State

Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. There were certain incurable defects in the representation and consequently, in the writ petition filed by the petitioner. The petitioner's grievance that a distance of not less than 1.5 metres shall be kept clear between protected works and the edge of such enclosure wall or pit as contained in Section 138 (5) of the Petroleum Rules 2002 has not been reflected in the representation or in the writ petition. Accordingly, the petitioner would not like to press this application and would instead make a fresh representation taking up all the points distinctly.

On such prayer of the learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner, the same is disposed of as not pressed

with the liberty granted to the petitioner to make a fresh representation.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)