

19.07.2022
Serial no. 35
[Dd]
(Anticipatory bail)
(**Rejected**)

CRM (A) 3442 of 2022

In re : An Application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Haripal** Police Station Case No. **153** of **2022** dated **06.07.2022** under Sections **420/406/409** of the Indian Penal Code.

-And-

In the matter of : **Diptendu Das**

... ..**Petitioner**

Mr. Susnigdho Bhattacharyya, Advocate
... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Sudip Ghosh,
Mr. Apurba Kr. Datta, Advocates
... ..For the State

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that the petitioner in course of his official duty as an employee of a subsidiary of a public bank collected a sum in excess of Rs.50 lakhs from members of the public and not deposited such amount in the bank account of such public.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein as transpiring from the materials in the case diary, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

CRM (A) 3442 of 2022 is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)