

21-11-2022
Subha
Item no. 45
Ct no.34

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction

C.R.R 2000 of 2021

Sri Alope Kumar Das @ Alope Das
-vs-
Manas Mahakur & Anr.

In Re :- An application under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Mr. Srinjay Sengupta
Mr. Saurav Roy
Mr. Narattam Acharyya
Mr. Ankush Ghosh

....for the opposite party no. 1.

Mr. Swapan Banerjee, ld. APP
Mr. Suman De

...for the State.

None appears on behalf of the petitioner.

Mr. Sengupta, learned advocate for the opposite party no. 1
is present.

Mr. Banerjee, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appears
on behalf of the State and produces the case diary. .

Learned advocate submits that the investigation of the case
is still under progress.

Having regard to the nature of the contentions made in the
present revisional application, I find that the petitioner would be at
liberty to take such issues relating to facts before the Investigating
Officer. Mere civil flavour which has been complained of at this stage
when the investigation is in progress would deter the police authority
from eliciting the truth. If after the conclusion of the investigation,

Police Authorities are of the opinion that the nature of the dispute is civil in nature it would be at their liberty to come to the aforesaid conclusion.

Accordingly, no interference is called for and the present revisional application being CRR 2000 of 2021 is dismissed.

Pending application, if any, are consequently disposed of.

All concerned parties are to act in terms of a copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this court.

[Tirthankar Ghosh, J]