

14.07.2022
03 & 29
Ct. No. 29
KAUSHIK
REJECTED

C.R.M.(A) 3175 of 2022

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Nabadwip** Police Station Case No. **151** of **2022** dated **19.04.2022** under Sections 498A/328/307/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Sahabaddin @ Sahabaddi Sekh @ Bangal & Ors.
..... petitioners

with

C.R.M.(A) 3357 of 2022

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Nabadwip** Police Station Case No. **151** of **2022** dated **19.04.2022** under Sections 498A/328/307/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Baharul Sekh
..... petitioner

Mr. Tapash Kumar Bhattacharya
Mr. Aviroop Bhattacharya
....for the petitioners in both the applications

Mr. Arijit Ganguly
Mr. Sanjib Kumar Daan
....for the State in CRM(A) 3175 of 2022

Mr. Abhra Mukherjee
Mr. Dipankar Mahata
....for the State in CRM(A) 3357 of 2022

Two applications for anticipatory bail are taken up for consideration analogously as they emanate out of the same police case.

The in-laws of the victim are the petitioners in CRM(A) 3175 of 2022. The husband of the victim is the petitioner in CRM(A) 3357 of 2022.

The victim recorded a statement under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.) implicating the petitioners of both the petitions. She stated that she was administered poison. There are medical documents corroborating the administration of poison on the victim.

In view of the gravity of the offence, the need for custodial interrogation of all the petitioners cannot be overlooked. In such circumstances, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected and the applications being CRM(A) 3175 of 2022 and CRM(A) 3357 of 2022 are dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)