

16.02.2022
Court No.13
Item No.7
AP

WPA 15209 of 2021

**Mr. Rakesh Singhal
Vs.
Union of India & Ors.**

(Through Video Conference)

Mr. Bibhasaditya Chakrabarty.

....For the petitioner.

Mr. Sanajit Kumar Ghosh.

..For the respondents.

Respondent No.2 in the instant writ petition is not a necessary party.

Let the name of the respondent No.2 be struck off from the records of the writ petition.

As already recorded in the order dated 11th February 2022, by reason of a change of policy effected by the Railway Board recently most of the grievances of the writ petitioner appeared to have been addressed.

However, in the event the writ petitioner feels there are some outstanding grievances pending, he may make an appropriate representation to the railways and take out appropriate proceedings if need be thereafter.

With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

There shall be no order as to costs.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Rajasekhar Mantha, J.)