

08.07.2022
Sl. No.40
akd
[Rejected]

C. R. M. (DB) 2199 of 2022

In Re: An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 06.07.2022 in connection with Baishnabnagar Police Station Case No. 377 of 2022 dated 07.05.2022 under Sections 363/365 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 4 of the POCSO Act.

And

In Re: ***Rubel Sk.***

... .. Petitioner

Mr. Arup Kumar Bhowmick

... .. for the petitioner

Mr. Parvej Anam

... .. for the de-facto complainant

Mr. Tanmay Kr. Ghosh

Mr. Sujit Gupta

... .. for the State

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is in custody for about 50 days. It is further submitted petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case.

Learned advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the de-facto complainant also opposes the prayer for bail.

We have considered the materials on record including the statement of the victim who is a minor and has implicated the petitioner in the crime. In view of the aforesaid facts, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

The application for bail is thus **rejected**.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)

