

07.07.2022

41
sdas
rejected

C.R.M.(DB) No. 2190 of 2022

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Ghatal Police Station Case No. 116 of 2021 dated 08.04.2021 under Sections 467/471/473/419/420/406/409/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Sri Rajib Bakshi petitioner

Mr. A. K. Poddar
Mr. Ayan Poddar
Ms. Poulami Bhowmick
... for the petitioner

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy, learned APP
Ms. Sonali Das
Ms. Rajyashree Mukherjee
... for the State

Petitioner renews his prayer for bail.

Learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that his client is in custody for 409 days. It is also submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case and further detention is not necessary.

Learned Counsel appearing for the State opposes prayer for bail. He submits that the petitioner had impersonated himself as cyber security officer and entered into conspiracy with other accused persons who illegally credited monies to various accounts which were subsequently siphoned away. Wrongful loss to the tune of Rs.18,00,00,000/- was suffered by the bank concerned.

We have considered the materials on record. Statements of witnesses and other materials show involvement of the petitioner in the ingenious fraud causing wrongful loss of Rs.18,00,00,000/- by the bank concerned.

In view of gravity of the offence and disclosing prima facie involvement of the petitioner therein, we are not inclined to grant bail to him.

The application for bail is, thus, rejected.

We are informed date has been fixed for consideration of charge.

Trial court is requested to consider the framing of charge on the next date fixed and if he is unable to do so, positively within a period of sixty days thereof and thereafter take the proceeding to its logical conclusion without granting any unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)