

08.07.2022
Item No.36
Court No.29
CHC
Rejected

CRM (A) 3243 of 2022

In re : An Application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Purba Bardhaman Cyber Crime Police Station Case No.04/2022 dated 15.02.2022 under Sections 66E/67/67A of the Information Technology Act.

-And-

In the matter of : **Anup Karmakar**

... ... Petitioner

Mr. Sumanta Das, Advocate

... ... For the Petitioner

Mr. Sanjoy Bardhan, Ld. A.P.P.

Md. Adil Badr, Advocate

... ...For the State

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the materials in the Case Diary.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein as transpiring from the materials in the Case Diary, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

CRM (A) 3243 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)