

08.07.2022

22

Ct. No. 29

**KAUSHIK
REJECTED**

C.R.M.(A) 3228 of 2022

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Madhyamgram** Police Station Case No. **208** of **2022** dated **28.04.2022** under Sections 272/273/420/120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 read with Sections 56/57/63 of the Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006.

And

In Re : Mr. Supravat Paul

..... petitioner

Mr. Debayan Bhattacharya

Mr. Sandipan Dutta

Ms. Swarnali Saha

Mr. Rajdeep Sinha

....for the petitioner

Mr. Ranabir Roychowdhury

Mr. Sandip Chakraborty

Mr. Kanchan Roy

....for the State

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner was falsely implicated. He submits that one of the co-accused was enlarged on anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that, the petitioner is the owner of the set up. He refers to the chemical examination report.

It appears that the petitioner is engaged in the business of supplying substandard water for human consumption to the members of the public after manufacturing and packaging the same.

In view of the petitioner dealing with the members of the public in the manner as transpiring from the materials in the case diary, which affect the health of the member of the public, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected and the application being CRM (A) 3228 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)