

08.07.2022
as/ct 28
sl no. 19

C.R.M. (DB) 2167 of 2022

In Re : An application for bail under section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Tehatta PS case no. 92/2022 dated 9.2.2022 under sections 394/397/412 IPC and 25/27 of the Arms Act

And

In Re : Mehedi Malitha @ Lalmiya petitioner

Ms. A Sinha

Mr. Asraf Mandal

..... for the petitioner

Mr. M Sur

Mr. M Mahata

Mr. D Paramanick

..... for the State

Petitioner is in custody for 144 days. It is submitted he has been falsely implicated in the instant case.

Learned lawyer for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits stolen motor cycle was recovered on the leading statement of the petitioner and co-accused. They were also identified in T.I. parade

We have considered evidence on record. Statements of witnesses and contemporaneous documents show gun-shot injury on the victim. On the leading statement of the petitioner and co-accused stolen article was recovered. Petitioner was identified during TI parade.

In view of the aforesaid materials on record and gravity of the offence, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail is rejected.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)