

07.07.2022
Serial no.39
Aloke

CRM (A) 3216 of 2022

In re : An Application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Kotwali Police Station Case No. 159 of 2022 dated 15.03.2022 under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and charge-sheet submitted under Sections 302/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

-And-

In the matter of : **Sanjit Mandi alias Bapi Mandi**

... .. Petitioner

Mr. Angshuman Chakraborty, Advocate

Mr. S.S. Saha, Advocate

... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Saibal Bapuli, Id. APP

Mr. Bibaswan Bhattacharya, Advocate

Mr. Arani Bhattacharyya, Advocate

... ..For the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not named in the first information report. The petitioner was falsely implicated in the charge-sheet submitted by the police. The petitioner is an employee of Government Railway Protection. The petitioner was on duty at the material point of time.

The learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention to the materials in the case diary including the statement recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The statement of the eye witnesses recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure implicates the petitioner in the offence of murder.

In such circumstances, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

CRM (A) 3216 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)