

30.06.2022.
14.
Ct.No.28
as
(Rejected)

C.R.M. (DB) 2066 of 2022

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Ranaghat P.S. Case No.253 of 2022 dated 16.04.2022 under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and adding Sections 201/120B of the Indian Penal Code.

In the matter of : Gita Saroj.

... Petitioner.

Mr. S. Bhattacharya,
Mr. Debapriya Majumder.

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Sudip Ghosh,
Mr. Bitasok Banerjee.

...for the State.

Heard the learned Advocates appearing for the parties.

Petitioner is in custody for about 77 days. It is submitted there is no direct evidence connecting her with the crime.

Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits petitioner had an illicit relationship with the deceased which had turned sour. She along with co-accused committed the murder. Investigation is in progress.

We have considered the materials on record. Statements of witnesses and other materials show there was an illicit relationship between the petitioner and the deceased. CDRs show communication between the deceased and the petitioner immediately prior to the incident. Petitioner had motive to commit the crime. Investigation is in progress.

Under such circumstances, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay,J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)