

09-11-2022
Item no.19
Subrata
Bhattacharyya

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Special Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

CPAN No.1154 of 2001
Tapan Kumar Roy
-vs-
Sri Prithish Pathak & Anr.
arising out of
WPA No.4667 of 1997

None

...for the petitioner

To press the contempt application, none represents the petitioner.

The petitioner, by way of this contempt application under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution filed on August 22, 2001, sought for punishment of the alleged contemnors/respondents concerned in the writ petition for non-compliance of an order dated March 20, 1997 passed by this court in WP No.4667(W) of 1997.

It appears that since filing of the contempt application, none moved to press it.

I feel that the petitioner is no longer interested to proceed with the application on hand.

Accordingly, the contempt application stands dismissed for non-appearance of the petitioner.

[Rabindranath Samanta, J]

