

29.06.2022

Serial no. 59

[Dd]

(Anticipatory bail)

(Rejected)

CRM (A) 3055 of 2022

In re : An Application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Khayrasole** Police Station Case No. **14** of **2020** dated **01.02.2020** under Sections **326/307/34** of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections **3/ 4** of the Explosive Substance Act, 1883.

-And-

In the matter of : **Manoj Sow Mondal**

... ..**Petitioner**

Mr. Kunal Ganguly, Advocate

... .. For the **Petitioner**

Ms. Sreyashee Biswas, Advocate

... ...For the State

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that other co-accused was enlarged on bail by the jurisdictional Court. The police filed charge sheet and, therefore, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that the victim suffered bomb blast injury at the instance of the petitioner and that the same transpires from the materials in the case diary including the statement of the victim recorded under Section 161 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

There are materials in the case diary implicating the petitioner.

Considering the nature of injury suffered by the victim and the statements recorded under Section 161 of

the Criminal Procedure Code implicating the petitioner in the incident, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

CRM (A) 3055 of 2022 is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)