

05.07.2022.
16.
Ct.No.28
as
(Rejected)

C.R.M. (DB) 2026 of 2022

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Canning P.S. Case No.179 of 2016 dated 22.03.2016 under Sections 120B/363/366A/367/370/370A/372/372(2)(i) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 6 of the POCSO Act.

In the matter of : Mosaraf Laskar @ Raju.

... Petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Prahladka,
Ms. Yanz Lama.

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Ranabir Ray Chowdhury,
Mr. Mainak Gupta.

...for the State.

Heard the learned Advocates appearing for the parties.

Petitioner is in custody for more than five years. He submits he has been falsely implicated in the instant case.

Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail. He submits trial is in progress. He produces the evidence of the victim and her mother.

We have considered the materials on record including the evidence of the victim which shows involvement of the petitioner in inter State trafficking of women for sexual exploitation. In view of gravity of the offence and the incriminating materials adduced against the petitioner, we are of the opinion it may not be prudent to release the petitioner on bail at this stage.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

However, we direct the trial court to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible preferably within six months from the next date fixed for recording evidence without granting unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay,J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)