

Court No.
39
Item 45
Ssi

08.03.
2022

C.R.R. 1644 of 2021

In the matter of:- **Soumen Dey**

(via video conference)

Mr. Sabir Ahmed
Mr. S. K. Gupta
...for the petitioner
Mr. Imran Ali
Ms. Manisha Sharma

...for the State

This is an application seeking an expeditious disposal of a proceeding in which a charge-sheet was submitted under Section 14 of the Foreigners Act and Section 6 of the Passports Act against the opposite party nos. 2 and 3.

Let a copy of this application be served upon Mr. Imran Ali and Ms. Manisha Sharma, learned advocates, who are present in Court today and who ordinarily appear on behalf of the State. Their engagement may be regularised in due course by the competent authority of the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is the defacto-complainant in this case while the opposite party nos. 2 and 3 are the accused. Although the First Information Report was lodged in February 2014 and a charge-sheet was

submitted in April, 2015, till date, the proceeding could not be concluded. The matter has remained pending for framing of charges. In the interest of justice, the impugned proceeding ought to be expedited.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that the State would not come in the way if a direction is passed to expedite the proceeding.

I have heard the submissions of the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the petitioner and the State and have perused the revision petition.

No prejudice will be caused to anyone, if a direction is passed in respect of the petitioner's prayer for expeditious disposal of the proceeding.

It appears that some delay has been occasioned in concluding the proceeding, especially considering the fact that the FIR was lodged way back in February, 2014.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, the learned trial Court is requested to conclude the proceeding as expeditiously as possible without granting any unnecessary adjournment to any of the parties and in particular, to consider the question of framing of charge on the next date of hearing or within a period of four months from then.

With these observations, the revisional application is disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)