

33 01.08.
AGM 2022
/
RKB
Ct
07

C.O. 1622 of 2022

**Smt. Radha Dutta & Anr.
Vs
M/s STP Limited**

Mr. Sanjoy Mukherjee,
Ms. Sabana Khatun ... For the petitioners.

A direction to secure expeditious disposal of interlocutory application filed by the petitioners/plaintiffs under Order 6 Rule 17 of the Code of Civil Procedure is the ultimate relief sought for in this case.

No other point is raised requiring address by this Court.

Admittedly petitioner is the plaintiff, who instituted the suit in the year 2003. The plaintiff who himself prayed for amendment to incorporate some subsequent events, allegedly taken the place during the pendency of this litigation.

In view of the nature of the order proposed to be made in this case, no prior notice is considered to be necessary upon the opposite party.

Service of notice of this application upon opposite party stands dispensed with.

Accordingly, the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), 4th Court, Alipore, in Ejectment Suit No. 105 of 2003 is requested to ensure expeditious

disposal of pending interlocutory application praying for amendment filed by the petitioners/plaintiffs under Order 6 Rule 17 of the Code of Civil Procedure, providing sufficient opportunity of hearing to either of the parties to this case, but without granting unnecessary adjournments, unless it is extremely unavoidable.

While endeavouring such exercise, this would not however prevent the court below from taking the up the interlocutory application, referred hereinabove, on the next scheduled date before the court below.

Petitioners are directed to make communication of this order to the learned court below as well as to the learned advocate appearing in the court below for the opposite party and the opposite party.

With this observation and direction, the revisional application stands disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of the order, if applied for, be given to the parties on usual undertakings.

(Subhasis Dasgupta, J)