

17.06.2022
Sl. No.135
akd
[ALLOWED]

C. R. M. (A) 2828 of 2022

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 09.06.2022 in connection with Gaighata Police Station Case No. 321 of 2022 dated 13.04.2022 under Sections 341/376/511 of the Indian Penal Code. (G.R. Case No.1124 of 2022)

And

In Re: ***Sanjay Paramanik***

... .. Petitioner

Mr. Mrinal Kanti Mukherjee

... .. for the petitioner

Mr. Pravas Bhattacharya

Mr. Pratick Bose

... .. for the State

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the instant case is a counter-blast to an earlier case lodged by him against the de-facto complainant and her husband.

Learned advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

We have considered the materials on record. Keeping in mind the nature of allegations in the light of the submission that the instant case is a counter-blast to an earlier case lodged by the petitioner against the de-facto complainant and her husband and as possibility of false implication on such score cannot be wholly ruled out, we are of the opinion custodial interrogation of the accused/petitioner may not be necessary in the facts of the present case and he may be granted anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, we direct that in the event of arrest, the accused/petitioner, namely ***Sanjay Paramanik***, be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only), with two sureties of like amount each, to the satisfaction of the arresting

officer and also subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and on further condition that the petitioner shall appear before the court below and pray for regular bail within four weeks from date.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)