

96
ab
Ct. 40

26.07.2022.

C.P.A.N. 1237 of 2000
Kenaram Maity Vs. Mrinal Kanti Naskar
In
WPA 11066 of 1999
Kanaram Maity
Vs.
The State

No one appears on behalf of the petitioner on repeated calls.

No accommodation is sought for.

Accordingly, the contempt application is dismissed for default.

There shall be no order as to costs.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Sugato Majumdar, J.)