

16.06.2022.
02.
Ct.No.28
as
(Rejected)

C.R.M. (DB) 1755 of 2022

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Chapra P.S. Case No.1 of 2020 dated 03.01.2020 under Sections 302/201/120B of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25(i)(a)/27 of the Arms Act.

In the matter of : Maidul Sk. @ Mahidul Sk.

... Petitioner.

Mr. Shataroop Purkayastha.

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Sudip Ghosh,
Mr. Sekhar Barman.

...for the State

Heard the learned Advocates appearing for the parties.

Petitioner is in custody for about 884 days. Co-accused is on bail.

Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

We have considered the materials on record. Statements of witnesses implicate the petitioner. Fire arm which was used for commission of offence was recovered on his leading statement.

In view of the aforesaid incriminating materials on record and gravity of the offence, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioners is rejected.

Keeping in mind the protracted period of detention suffered by the petitioner, we request the trial court to

conclude the trial at an early date preferably within one year from the next date fixed for recording evidence without granting unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay,J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)