

31.08.2022.
40.
Ct.No.28.
as

**C.R.M. 4864 of 2022
with
CRAN 1 of 2022**

In the matter of : Majid Md. @ Tapa.

... Petitioner.

Mr. Sudip Guha.

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy, Id. A.P.P.,
Ms. Sujata Das.

...for the State.

Re: CRAN 1 of 2022.

This application has been filed for recalling of the order dated 17.11.2021 and seeking restoration of the application for bail.

Having considered the averments made in the application being CRAN 1 of 2022, order dated 17.11.2021 is recalled. The application for bail is restored to its original file and number.

CRAN 1 of 2022 is disposed of.

Re: CRM 4864 of 2022.

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection Raiganj P.S. Case No.332 of 2016 dated 21.03.2016 under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code.

In the matter of : Majid Md. @ Tapa.

... Petitioner.

Petitioner is in custody for over 1000 days. He prays for bail.

Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail. He submits petitioner had absconded for more than three years.

We have considered the materials on record. Statements of witnesses implicate the petitioner in the murder. He had absconded for more than three years. Trial is in progress.

Under such circumstances, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

Trial court is requested to expedite the trial and conclude the same at an early date without granting unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties.

(Ajay Kumar Gupta, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)