

14.06.2022

60
sdas
rejected

C.R.M. (DB) 1678 of 2022

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Titagarh Police Station Case No. 254 of 2020 dated 24.04.2020 under Sections 354A of the Indian Penal Code and adding Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Pratap Roy petitioner

Mr. Debasis Kar

... for the petitioner

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy, learned APP

Ms. Sonali Das

... for the State

Liberty is granted to the learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner to correct the cause title.

Learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he is in custody for two years and three months. Petitioner renews his prayer for bail.

Learned Counsel appearing for the State opposes prayer for bail.

We have considered the materials on record. Statements of witnesses show tht the petitioner was last seen with the victim and CDRs of the mobile phone collecting in the course of the investigation also show he made phone calls to the deceased prior to the incident. Incriminating materials have also been recovered from the leading statement of the petitioner.

In view of the aforesaid incriminating materials and gravity of the offence, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

The application for bail is, thus, rejected.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)