

13.06.2022
Sl. No.116
akd
[ALLOWED]

C. R. M. (A) 2570 of 2022

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 20.05.2022 in connection with Hemtabad Police Station Case No. 121 of 2022 dated 31.03.2022 under Sections 498A/323/325/307/315/34 of the Indian Penal Code. (G.R. Case No.911 of 2022)

And

In Re: ***Dipendra Nath Roy & Anr.***

... .. Petitioners

Mr. Kushal Paul

... .. for the petitioners

Mr. Imran Ali
Ms. Debjani Sahu

... .. for the State

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners they are the in-laws of the victim-housewife. It is further submitted that petitioners are not the principal accused.

Learned advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

We have considered the materials on record. Keeping in mind the extent of complicity of the petitioners in the alleged crime, we are of the opinion custodial interrogation of the accused/petitioners may not be necessary in the facts of the present case and they may be granted anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, we direct that in the event of arrest, the accused/petitioners, namely **(1) Dipendra Nath Roy & (2) Arati Roy (Barman)**, be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) each, with two sureties of like amount each, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer and also subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal

Procedure, 1973 and on further condition that the petitioners shall appear before the court below and pray for regular bail within four weeks from date.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)