

26 27.06.
RKB 2022
Ct
07

C.O. 1465 of 2022

**Anant Thakur @ Anant Kumar Thakur
Vs
Sri Kailash Thakur.**

Mr. Lutful Haque,
Ms. Pampa Dey ... For the petitioner.

A direction to secure expeditious disposal of an injunction application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, is the ultimate relief sought for in this case.

Admittedly petitioner being defendant has been suffering ad interim order of injunction.

It is candidly submitted by the learned advocate for the petitioner that objection against the injunction application has already been filed in the court below.

The only contention expressed by the learned advocate for the petitioner is against the delay caused in the disposal of suit.

No other point is raised requiring address by this Court.

In view of the nature of the order proposed to be made in this case, no prior notice is considered to be necessary upon the opposite party.

Service of notice of this application upon opposite party stands dispensed with.

Accordingly, the learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), 3rd Court, Alipore, in Title Suit No. 922 of

2011 is requested to ensure expeditious disposal of pending injunction application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 of C.P.C, providing sufficient opportunity of hearing to either of the parties to this case, but without granting unnecessary adjournments, unless it is extremely unavoidable.

Petitioner is directed to make communication of this order to the learned court below as well as to the learned advocate appearing in the court below for the opposite party and the opposite party.

With this observation and direction, the revisional application stands disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of the order, if applied for, be given to the parties on usual undertakings.

(Subhasis Dasgupta, J)