

09.06.2022
tkm/ct 28
sl no. 104

C.R.M. (A) 2472 of 2022

In Re : An application for anticipatory bail under section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Haringhata P.S case no. 105 of 2022 dated 9.4.2022 under sections 376/417 of the Indian Penal Code
And

Allowed

In Re : Tridib Ranjan Roy petitioner

Mr Kallol Mondal
Mr. Jakir Hossain

..... for the petitioner

Mr. Arup Sarkar

..... for the State

Mr. Mazhar Hossain Chowdhury

..... for the de facto complainant

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that there was a love affair between the parties. Victim was major at the time cohabitation.

Learned lawyer for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Learned lawyer for the de facto complainant also opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits objectionable pictures were uploaded on the internet.

We have considered the materials in the case diary. Victim was major at the time of cohabitation and was aware of the consequences thereof. No electronic evidence with regard to allegation of uploading obscene pictures on the internet is placed before us.

In view of the aforesaid facts, we are inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, we direct that in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and also be subject to the conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and on further condition that the petitioner shall meet the investigating officer once in a week while on bail until further orders and that the petitioner shall appear before the court below and pray for regular bail within four weeks from date.

The application being CRM (A) 2472 of 2022 is disposed of.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)